# IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

Case No. 14-1146

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Respondent.

## PETITIONERS' OPPOSITION TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS

EPA's request for a 45-day extension should be denied. While the States as a gesture of good will are willing to consent to a 14-day extension for the filing of EPA's dispositive motion, the States respectfully submit that EPA has not shown "good cause" for any further modification of this Court's scheduling order. *See* Circuit Rule 27(g)(1); Fed. R. App. P. 26(b); *cf.* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b).

In deciding whether EPA has satisfied its burden to show "good cause" to modify the briefing schedule, the primary consideration is whether EPA has "show[n] that the [present] deadlines cannot reasonably be met despite its diligence." *Capitol Sprinkler Inspection, Inc. v. Guest Servs., Inc.*, 630 F.3d 217, 226 (D.C. Cir. 2011) (alterations and quotations omitted). EPA asserts that there

Filed: 09/08/2014

are several bases on which EPA may (or may not) seek dismissal. See Motion to Extend 4-5 & n.3 (suggesting that a motion to dismiss may not be filed even after 45 days). But EPA's brief recitation of potential grounds on which it may move to dismiss—all straightforward questions of law—falls far short of its duty to "show that the [present] deadlines cannot reasonably" be met. Capitol Sprinkler, 630 F.3d at 226 (emphasis added and quotations omitted). Nor does EPA's boilerplate assertion of "other briefing deadlines" and the need to permit "adequate time for . . . management review" suffice. See Dkt. No. 1510481, at 4. As noted, the States are willing to agree to a 14-day extension for the filing of EPA's dispositive motion—which would result in a deadline two months after the States filed their Petition. The agency's statements simply do not "show" why such a briefing schedule would not be "reasonably" sufficient to permit EPA to satisfy the internal drafting and review procedures attendant to any court filing by the Federal Government. Capitol Sprinkler, 630 F.3d at 226.

EPA's request must also be denied because further delay would significantly prejudice the States. Dag Enterprises, Inc. v. Exxon Mobil Corp., 226 F.R.D. 95, 110 (D.D.C. 2005) ("The existence or degree of prejudice to the party opposing modification may supply an additional reason to deny a motion to modify a scheduling order. . . . " (quoting 3 *Moore's Federal Practice* § 16.14 [b] (2003)). EPA's attempt to delay the dispositive motions deadline by yet another month will

Filed: 09/08/2014

cause the States "to incur significant expense and produce further delay in these proceedings." Id. As the States explain in their Motion To Set A Consolidated Briefing Schedule And To Expedite Consideration, the States are expending resources now to develop the state plans that EPA mandated pursuant to its settlement agreement, and those expenditures will only increase in the coming months. See Dkt. No. 1510480, at 15-18. If EPA's motion for delay is granted, the States will not receive a ruling on their Petition for Review for at least an additional month, and every month that passes without relief will impose significant, unrecoverable costs on the States. See id. The continued expenditure of these substantial public resources in multiple States—not to mention the resources that numerous stakeholders are expending to provide critical input to the States during this process—far outweighs the burden that would fall upon the Federal Government from having to submit a single motion to dismiss, on discrete issues of law, two months after the filing of the initial Petition.

In all events, the States urge this Court to consider their pending Motion To Set A Consolidated Briefing Schedule And To Expedite Consideration when resolving EPA's request for an extension. The potential harm from delay that justifies denying EPA's request is an even stronger reason to grant the States' request. While the time extension requested by EPA means that the States will continue to expend substantial resources for an additional month, failure to

consolidate briefing and expedite consideration could well mean that the States are forced to expend such resources for many months, and perhaps more than a full year. *See id.* at 15-18.

Dated: September 8, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Elbert Lin

Patrick Morrisey

Attorney General of West Virginia

Elbert Lin

Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

Misha Tseytlin

Deputy Attorney General

J. Zak Ritchie

**Assistant Attorney General** 

State Capitol Building 1, Room 26-E

Charleston, WV 25305

Tel. (304) 558-2021

Fax (304) 558-0140

Email: elbert.lin@wvago.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of West Virginia

#### /s/ Andrew Brasher

Luther Strange

Attorney General of Alabama

Andrew Brasher

Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

501 Washington Ave.

Montgomery, AL 36130

Tel. (334) 590-1029

Email: abrasher@ago.state.al.us

Counsel for Petitioner State of Alabama

#### /s/ Timothy Junk

Gregory F. Zoeller

Attorney General of Indiana

Timothy Junk

Deputy Attorney General

Counsel of Record

Indiana Government Ctr. South, Fifth Floor

302 West Washington Street

Indianapolis, IN 46205

Tel. (317) 232-6247

Email: tom.fisher@atg.in.gov

#### Counsel for Petitioner State of Indiana

#### /s/ Jeffrey A. Chanay

Derek Schmidt

Attorney General of Kansas

Jeffrey A. Chanay

Deputy Attorney General

Counsel of Record

120 SW 10th Avenue, 3d Floor

Topeka, KS 66612

Tel. (785) 368-8435

Fax (785) 291-3767

Email: jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov

#### Counsel for Petitioner State of Kansas

#### /s/ Jack Conway

Jack Conway

Attorney General of Kentucky

Counsel of Record

Sean Riley

Chief Deputy Attorney General

700 Capital Avenue

Suite 118

Frankfort, KY 40601

Tel: (502) 696-5650

Email: Sean.Riley@ag.ky.gov

Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of Kentucky

#### /s/ Megan K. Terrell

James D. "Buddy" Caldwell

Attorney General of Louisiana

Megan K. Terrell

Deputy Director, Civil Division

Counsel of Record

1885 N. Third Street

Baton Rouge, LS 70804

Tel. (225) 326-6705

Email: TerrellM@ag.state.la.us

#### Counsel for Petitioner State of Louisiana

#### /s/ Katie Spohn

Jon Bruning

Attorney General of Nebraska

Katie Spohn

Deputy Attorney General

Counsel of Record

2115 State Capitol

Lincoln, NE 68509

Tel. (402) 471-2834

Email: Katie.spohn@nebraska.gov

#### Counsel for Petitioner State of Nebraska

#### /s/ Eric E. Murphy

Michael DeWine

Attorney General of Ohio

Eric E. Murphy

**State Solicitor** 

Counsel of Record

30 E. Broad St., 17th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Tel. (614) 466-8980

Email:

eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

#### Counsel for Petitioner State of Ohio

#### /s/ Patrick R. Wyrick

E. Scott Pruitt

Attorney General of Oklahoma

Page 7 of 9

Patrick R. Wyrick

Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

P. Clayton Eubanks

Deputy Solicitor General

313 N.E. 21st Street

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Tel. (405) 521-3921

Email: Clayton.Eubanks@oag.ok.gov

#### Counsel for Petitioner State of Oklahoma

#### /s/ James Emory Smith, Jr.

Alan Wilson

Attorney General of South Carolina

Robert D. Cook

Solicitor General

James Emory Smith, Jr.

**Deputy Solicitor General** 

Counsel of Record

P.O. Box 11549

Columbia, SC 29211

Tel. (803) 734-3680

Fax (803) 734-3677

Email: ESmith@scag.gov

### Counsel for Petitioner State of South

Carolina

#### /s/ Roxanne Giedd

Marty J. Jackley

Attorney General of South Dakota

Roxanne Giedd

Deputy Attorney General

Counsel of Record

1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1

Pierre, SD 57501

Tel. (605) 773-3215

Email: roxanne.giedd@state.sd.us

Counsel for Petitioner State of South Dakota

#### /s/ Jeremiah I. Williamson

Peter K. Michael

Attorney General of Wyoming

James Kaste

Deputy Attorney General

Michael J. McGrady

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Jeremiah I. Williamson

**Assistant Attorney General** 

Counsel of Record

123 State Capitol

Cheyenne, WY 82002

Tel. (307) 777-6946

Fax (307) 777-3542

Email: jeremiah.williamson@wyo.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Wyoming

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 8th day of September, 2014, a copy of the foregoing *Petitioners' Opposition To Respondent's Motion To Extend Time To File Dispositive Motions* was served electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system on all registered counsel.

<u>/s/ Elbert Lin</u>
Elbert Lin