



State of West Virginia
Office of the Attorney General

Patrick Morrissey
Attorney General

(304) 558-2021
Fax (304) 558-0140

August 1, 2016

The Honorable Regina A. McCarthy
Administrator
U.S. Environment Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Submitted electronically via Regulations.gov

Re: Request for extension of time to comment on the proposed rule, *Clean Energy Incentive Program Design Details*, 81 Fed. Reg. 42,940 (June 30, 2016), docket no. EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0033, by the undersigned States and state agencies

Dear Administrator McCarthy:

As the chief legal officers and officials of the States and state agencies that obtained the stay of the "Clean Power Plan" from the United States Supreme Court, we urge you to immediately extend the comment period on the proposed rule titled, *Clean Energy Incentive Program Design Details*, 81 Fed. Reg. 42,940 (June 30, 2016) (the "CEIP"). The comment period should be extended for at least sixty days following the termination of the Power Plan stay. Of course, if the Power Plan does not survive judicial review, the CEIP should then simply be withdrawn. Several reasons support this extension request.

First, extending the comment deadline is required by the stay. Under established precedent, the stay order "halt[s] or postpone[s]" the Power Plan, including "by temporarily divesting [the Power Plan] of enforceability." *Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 428 (2009). In other words, the stay "suspend[s] the source of authority to act" by "hold[ing] [the Rule] in abeyance." *Id.* As the States have repeatedly explained since the stay was entered, that means EPA and its agents possess *no authority* to require States take action regarding the Power Plan.¹ Indeed, EPA

¹ The States of West Virginia and Texas sent a letter dated February 12, 2016, to the presidents of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners and the National Association of Clean Air Agencies that addresses the meaning of the Supreme Court stay, including EPA's authority to require States to take further action regarding the Power Plan. The letter is hereby incorporated by reference and may be found at this link:

officials have acknowledged—as they must—that the agency cannot require States to take any action related to the Power Plan during the stay.² Thus, EPA officials have expressed doubt that the agency may move forward formally with the pending model trading rule, which is the subject of a separate rulemaking but exists only because of the Power Plan.³

The stay “preserve[s] the status quo” pre-Power Plan. *Cobell v. Kempthorne*, 455 F.3d 301, 314 (D.C. Cir. 2006). That is why the United States Solicitor General, representing EPA in opposing the stay, acknowledged to the Supreme Court that “implementation of each sequential step mandated by the Rule *would be substantially delayed*” if the Power Plan were stayed but ultimately upheld. Memorandum for the Federal Respondents in Opposition 2-3, No. 15A773, et al. (U.S. Feb. 4, 2016) (emphasis added). “A request for [] tolling [Power Plan deadlines] is inherent even in the applications that do not explicitly address that subject,” the Solicitor General explained, “as all of them rest on the premise that *a stay would forestall harms alleged to arise from future deadlines.*” *Id.* at 3 (emphasis added). In short, a stay of the Power Plan “would have sweeping prospective consequences, extending far beyond the actual pendency of the relevant judicial proceedings.” *Id.* at 4.

Yet, EPA is now attempting to force States to take action on a proposal that would not exist but for the Power Plan. *See* 81 Fed. Reg. at 42,940. Because “the CEIP was established in the Clean Power Plan,” *id.*, the States have previously cautioned EPA against taking “any actions [regarding the CEIP] that trigger deadlines for notice-and-comment” because doing so “would improperly compel action by States.”⁴ But that is precisely the effect of the proposed CEIP under the current comment period, which concludes well before the stay order could conceivably

<http://www.ago.wv.gov/publicresources/epa/Documents/2016-02-12%20Letter%20to%20NARUC%20%20%20NACAA%20%28M0118772xCECC6%29.pdf>.

² *See* Emily Holden, E&E News, *With climate rule on hold, should states worry about deadlines?* (Mar. 24, 2016), <http://www.eenews.net/stories/1060034549> (“McCarthy has said that EPA is ‘not dictating any implementation of this rule or telling any state they have to do anything.’”); Letter from Assistant Administrator McCabe to Senator Inhofe (Apr. 18, 2016), http://www.epw.senate.gov/public/_cache/files/ca20cabb-4494-47af-822c-3e814707cb80/epa-response-to-tolling-letter-04-18-2016.pdf (“During the stay, the EPA has made clear that implementation and enforcement of the Clean Power Plan are on hold. This means that during the pendency of the stay, states are not required to submit anything to EPA. . .”).

³ *See* Elizabeth Harball, E&E News, *Advisor says EPA undecided whether to finish model carbon trading rule* (July 12, 2016), <http://www.eenews.net/climatewire/stories/1060040084> (quoting Joe Goffman, senior counsel in EPA’s Office of Air and Radiation, who stated, “At this point, we still have not made a decision, given the pendency of the stay, what our next step is going to be -- whether we are going to move from proposal to final rule *or whether we are going to devise some other, less formal instrument* to move the ball forward in terms of the issues that were raised in the model trading rule.”) (emphasis added).

⁴ This letter, dated May 16, 2016, is hereby incorporated by reference and may be found at this link: <http://www.ago.wv.gov/publicresources/epa/Documents/2016-05-16%20Letter%20to%20EPA%20responding%20to%2014%20States%20%28M0126714xCECC6%29.pdf>.

terminate.⁵ EPA has put the States to a Hobson's Choice while the Supreme Court's stay is in effect, requiring that States either: 1) expend resources analyzing, drafting, and filing comments with the agency; *or* 2) do nothing and forgo their right to raise objections to the CEIP immediately upon judicial review. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 7607(d)(7)(B) ("Only an objection to a rule . . . which was raised with reasonable specificity during the period for public comment . . . may be raised during judicial review.").⁶ The proposed CEIP is thus guaranteed to have irrevocable consequences for the States during the stay. And because the CEIP derives solely and directly from the Power Plan, EPA is and will continue to be in violation of the stay, unless it extends the comment period as requested.

Second, although the stay alone mandates an extension, granting an extension would also be consistent with the practice followed by other federal agencies that have promulgated rules potentially affected by pending litigation. For example, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") indefinitely extended the comment period for a proposal on exposure limits for toxic substances following a court decision that vacated a different final rule that was similar to OSHA's proposal. *See* Dep't of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Admin., *Proposed Rule: Extension of Comment Period and Postponement of Hearings*, 57 Fed. Reg. 37,126 (Aug. 18, 1992) (indefinitely extending comment period "while future actions in response to the [court] decision are implemented"). Rather than simply forging ahead with the rulemaking process of a rule with apparent legal issues, OSHA took the reasonable step of delaying the closure of public comment to assess the implications of the court's decision. Similarly, the Minerals Management Service once postponed the comment period for an environmental impact statement until the agency could implement a court order entered in litigation over a separate but related issue concerning outer continental shelf lease suspensions. *See* Dep't of Interior, Minerals Management Serv., *Notice of Postponement of Public Hearings and Extension of the Public Comment Period for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Delineation Drilling Activities in Federal Waters Offshore Santa Barbara County, California*, 66 Fed. Reg. 35,809 (July 9, 2001). And more recently, the Obama Administration delayed agency review of comments on State Department approval of the Keystone XL pipeline due to uncertainty caused by a pending Nebraska Supreme Court decision. *See* Dep't of State, *Keystone XL Pipeline Project Review Process: Provision of More Time for Submission of Agency Views* (Press Release), Apr. 18, 2014.

⁵ *See* 81 Fed. Reg. at 81 Fed. Reg. at 42,940 (establishing 60-day comment period, closing August 29, 2016); 81 Fed. Reg. 47,325, 47,325 (July 21, 2016) (extending proposed CEIP comment period four days, until September 2, 2016, to align the comment period with the public hearing submittal time frame).

⁶ It is no answer to suggest that the States *might* be able to file objections to the proposed CEIP at a later date in a petition for reconsideration. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 7607(d)(7)(B). Reconsideration is available only for objections that were "impracticable to raise" during the comment period or "if the grounds for such objection arose after the period for public comment." *Id.* And even assuming such objections would be proper subjects for reconsideration, the Act provides no deadline by which EPA must act on a reconsideration petition. *Mexichem Specialty Resins, Inc. v. EPA*, 787 F.3d 544, 555 (D.C. Cir. 2015).

Finally, extending the comment period through sixty days after the end of the stay would also be consistent with the purpose of notice and comment. In reliance on the Supreme Court's stay order, obtained over EPA's vigorous opposition, many States may reasonably choose not to participate in the comment process. But "[t]he essential purpose of according . . . notice and comment opportunities is to reintroduce public participation and fairness to affected parties after governmental authority has been delegated to unrepresentative agencies." *Batterton v. Marshall*, 648 F.2d 694, 703 (D.C. Cir. 1980); *see also Sprint Corp. v. F.C.C.*, 315 F.3d 369, 373 (D.C. Cir. 2003) ("[T]he notice requirement improves the quality of agency rulemaking by exposing regulations to diverse public comment, ensures fairness to affected parties, and provides a well-developed record that enhances the quality of judicial review.") (quotations omitted). An extension of the comment deadline would be fairer to all States and ensure the States' full and robust participation. And because the Power Plan is stayed, the extension would not harm EPA or the public interest. Indeed, the extension could save significant public resources by postponing any further work on the CEIP until it is clear whether the Power Plan has survived judicial review.

* * *

In sum, the comment period for the proposed CEIP should be extended at least sixty days after the Supreme Court's stay is lifted. By failing to do so, EPA would continue to violate the stay, contravene past practice by other federal agencies, and run afoul of the purposes of notice and comment rulemaking. An extension would also ensure that work is not wasted on the CEIP, which would have to be withdrawn should the Power Plan ultimately be vacated as unlawful, as we expect.

Dated: August 1, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott A. Keller

Ken Paxton
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
Jeffrey C. Matcer
First Assistant Attorney General
Scott A. Keller
Solicitor General
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, TX 78711-2548
Tel: (512) 936-1700
scott.keller@texasattorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for State of Texas

/s/ Elbert Lin

Patrick Morrisey
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WEST
VIRGINIA
Elbert Lin
Solicitor General
J. Zak Ritchie
Assistant Attorney General
State Capitol Building 1, Room 26-E
Charleston, WV 25305
Tel: (304) 558-2021
Fax: (304) 558-0140
elbert.lin@wvago.gov

Counsel for State of West Virginia

/s/ Andrew Brasher

Luther Strange
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALABAMA
Andrew Brasher
Solicitor General
501 Washington Avenue
Montgomery, AL 36130
Tel: (334) 353-2609
abrasher@ago.state.al.us

Counsel for State of Alabama

/s/ John R. Lopez IV

Mark Brnovich
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ARIZONA
John R. Lopez IV
Dominic E. Draye
Keith J. Miller
Assistant Attorneys General
Maureen Scott
Janet Wagner
Janice Alward
Arizona Corp. Commission,
Staff Attorneys
1275 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007
Tel: (602) 542-5025
john.lopez@azag.gov
dominic.draye@azag.gov
keith.miller@azag.gov

Counsel for Arizona Corporation Commission

/s/ Lee Rudofsky

Leslie Rutledge

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ARKANSAS

Lee Rudofsky

Solicitor General

Jamie L. Ewing

Assistant Attorney General

323 Center Street, Suite 400

Little Rock, AR 72201

Tel: (501) 682-5310

lee.rudofsky@arkansasag.gov

Counsel for State of Arkansas

/s/ Frederick Yarger

Cynthia H. Collman

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF COLORADO

Frederick Yarger

Solicitor General

1300 Broadway, 10th Floor

Denver, CO 80203

Tel: (720) 508-6168

fred.yarger@state.co.us

Counsel for State of Colorado

/s/ Jonathan L. Williams

Pamela Jo Bondi

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF FLORIDA

Jonathan L. Williams

Deputy Solicitor General

Jonathan A. Glogau

Special Counsel

Office of the Attorney General

PL-01, The Capitol

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Tel: (850) 414-3818

Fax: (850) 410-2672

jonathan.williams@myfloridalegal.com

jonathan.glogau@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for State of Florida

/s/ Britt C. Grant

Samuel S. Olens

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF GEORGIA

Britt C. Grant

Solicitor General

40 Capitol Square S.W.

Atlanta, GA 30334

Tel: (404) 656-3300

Fax: (404) 463-9453

bgrant@law.ga.gov

Counsel for State of Georgia

/s/ Timothy Junk

Gregory F. Zoeller
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF INDIANA
Timothy Junk
Deputy Attorney General
Indiana Government Ctr. South
Fifth Floor
302 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46205
Tel: (317) 232-6247
tim.junk[atg.in.gov

Counsel for State of Indiana

/s/ Joe Newberg

Andy Beshear
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KENTUCKY
Mitchel T. Denham
Assistant Deputy Attorney General
Joseph A. Newberg, II
Assistant Attorney General
700 Capital Avenue
Suite 118
Frankfort, KY 40601
Tel: (502) 696-5611
joe.newberg@ky.gov

Counsel for Commonwealth of Kentucky

/s/ Jeffrey A. Chanay

Derek Schmidt
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KANSAS
Jeffrey A. Chanay
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Bryan C. Clark
Assistant Solicitor General
120 S.W. 10th Avenue, 3rd Floor
Topeka, KS 66612
Tel: (785) 368-8435
Fax: (785) 291-3767
jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov

Counsel for State of Kansas

/s/ Steven B. "Beaux" Jones

Jeff Landry
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF LOUISIANA
Steven B. "Beaux" Jones
Duncan S. Kemp, IV
Assistant Attorneys General
Environmental Section – Civil Division
1885 N. Third Street
Baton Rouge, LA 70804
Tel: (225) 326-6085
Fax: (225) 326-6099
jonesst@ag.state.la.us

Counsel for State of Louisiana

/s/ Donald Trahan

Herman Robinson
Executive Counsel
Donald Trahan
Elliott Vega
LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
Legal Division
P.O. Box 4302
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4302
Tel: (225) 219-3985
Fax: (225) 219-4068
donald.trahan@la.gov

*Counsel for State of Louisiana Department of
Environmental Quality*

/s/ Aaron D. Lindstrom

Bill Schuette
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE PEOPLE
OF MICHIGAN
Aaron D. Lindstrom
Michigan Solicitor General
P.O. Box 30212
Lansing, MI 48909
Tel: (515) 373-1124
Fax: (517) 373-3042
lindstroma@michigan.gov

Counsel for People of the State of Michigan

/s/ Monica Derbes Gibson

Monica Derbes Gibson
Lesley Foxhall Pietras
LISKOW & LEWIS, P.L.C.
701 Poydras Street, Suite 5000
New Orleans, LA 70139
Tel: (504) 556-4010
Fax: (504) 556-4108
mdgibson@liskow.com
lfpietras@liskow.com

*Counsel for Louisiana Public Service
Commission*

/s/ Harold E. Pizzetta, III

Jim Hood
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF
MISSISSIPPI
Harold E. Pizzetta
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Litigation Division
Office of the Attorney General
Post Office Box 220
Jackson, MS 39205
Tel: (601) 359-3816
Fax: (601) 359-2003
hpizz@ago.state.ms.us

Counsel for State of Mississippi

/s/ Donna J. Hodges

Donna J. Hodges
Senior Counsel
MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
P.O. Box 2261
Jackson, MS 39225-2261
Tel: (601) 961-5369
Fax: (601) 961-5349
donna_hodges@deq.state.ms.us

*Counsel for Mississippi Department of
Environmental Quality*

/s/ James R. Layton

Chris Koster
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI
James R. Layton
Solicitor General
P.O. Box 899
207 W. High Street
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Tel: (573) 751-1800
Fax: (573) 751-0774
james.layton@ago.mo.gov

Counsel for State of Missouri

/s/ Todd E. Palmer

Todd E. Palmer
Valerie L. Green
MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP
601 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20004-2601
Tel: (202) 747-9560
Fax: (202) 347-1819
tepalmer@michaelbest.com
vlgreen@michaelbest.com

*Counsel for Mississippi Public Service
Commission*

/s/ Dale Schowengerdt

Timothy C. Fox
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA
Alan Joscelyn
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Dale Schowengerdt
Solicitor General
215 North Sanders
Helena, MT 59620-1401
Tel: (406) 444-7008
dales@mt.gov

Counsel for State of Montana

/s/ Justin D. Lavene

Douglas J. Peterson
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEBRASKA
Dave Bydlaek
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Justin D. Lavene
Assistant Attorney General
2115 State Capitol
Lincoln, NE 68509
Tel: (402) 471-2834
justin.lavene@nebraska.gov

Counsel for State of Nebraska

/s/ John R. Renella

Christopher S. Porrino
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW
JERSEY
David C. Apy
Assistant Attorney General
John R. Renella
Deputy Attorney General
Division of Law
R.J. Hughes Justice Complex
P.O. Box 093
25 Market Street
Trenton, NJ 08625-0093
Tel. (609) 292-6945
Fax (609)341-5030
john.renella@dol.lps.state.nj.us

Counsel for State of New Jersey

Hon. Regina A. McCarthy

August 1, 2016

Page 11

/s/ Sam M. Hayes

Sam M. Hayes

General Counsel

Craig Bromby

Deputy General Counsel

Andrew Norton

Deputy General Counsel

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

1601 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, NC 27699-1601

Tel: (919) 707-8616

sam.hayes@ncdenr.gov

*Counsel for North Carolina Department of
Environmental Quality*

/s/ Paul M. Seby

Wayne Stenehjem

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH

DAKOTA

Margaret Olson

Assistant Attorney General

North Dakota Attorney General's Office

600 E. Boulevard Avenue #125

Bismarck, ND 58505

Tel: (701) 328-3640

maiolson@nd.gov

Paul M. Seby

Special Assistant Attorney General

State of North Dakota

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

1200 17th Street, Suite 2400

Denver, CO 80202

Tel: (303) 572-6500

Fax: (303) 572-6540

sebyp@gtlaw.com

Counsel for State of North Dakota

/s/ Eric E. Murphy

Michael DeWine
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO
Eric E. Murphy
State Solicitor
30 E. Broad Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Tel: (614) 466-8980
eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for State of Ohio

/s/ David B. Rivkin, Jr.

E. Scott Pruitt
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA
Patrick R. Wyrick
Solicitor General of Oklahoma
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Tel: (405) 521-4396
Fax: (405) 522-0669
fc.docket@oag.state.ok.us
scott.pruitt@oag.ok.gov

David B. Rivkin, Jr.
Mark W. DeLaquil
Andrew M. Grossman
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
Washington Square, Suite 1100
1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 861-1731
Fax: (202) 861-1783
drivkin@bakerlaw.com

*Counsel for State of Oklahoma and Oklahoma
Department of Environmental Quality*

/s/ James Emory Smith, Jr.

Alan Wilson
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH
CAROLINA
Robert D. Cook
Solicitor General
James Emory Smith, Jr.
Deputy Solicitor General
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211
Tel: (803) 734-3680
Fax: (803) 734-3677
esmith@scag.gov

Counsel for State of South Carolina

/s/ Steven R. Blair

Marty J. Jackley
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH
DAKOTA
Steven R. Blair
Assistant Attorney General
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1
Pierre, SD 57501
Tel: (605) 773-3215
steven.blair@state.sd.us

Counsel for State of South Dakota

/s/ Tyler R. Green

Sean Reyes
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF UTAH
Tyler R. Green
Solicitor General
Parker Douglas
Federal Solicitor
Utah State Capitol Complex
350 North State Street, Suite 230
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320
pdouglas@utah.gov

Counsel for State of Utah

/s/ Misha Tseytlin

Brad D. Schimel
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WISCONSIN
Misha Tseytlin
Solicitor General
Andrew Cook
Deputy Attorney General
Delanie M. Breuer
Assistant Deputy Attorney General
Wisconsin Department of Justice
17 West Main Street
Madison, WI 53707
Tel: (608) 267-9323
tseytlinm@doj.state.wi.us

Counsel for State of Wisconsin

/s/ James Kaste

Peter K. Michael
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WYOMING
James Kaste
Deputy Attorney General
Michael J. McGrady
Erik Petersen
Senior Assistant Attorneys General
Elizabeth Morrissette
Assistant Attorney General
2320 Capitol Avenue
Cheyenne, WY 82002
Tel: (307) 777-6946
Fax: (307) 777-3542
james.kaste@wyo.gov

Counsel for State of Wyoming

Hon. Regina A. McCarthy
August 1, 2016
Page 14

cc:

The Honorable James M. Inhofe
United States Senate EPW Chairman
205 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-3603

The Honorable Shelley Moore Capito
United States Senate
172 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Fred Upton
Energy & Commerce Committee Chairman
United States House of Representatives
2125 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515